

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,497	04/15/2004	John W. von Holdt SR.	10778.00024	8581
BANNER & W TEN SOUTH V	BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE		EXAMINER CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/825,497	JOHN VON HOLDT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen J. Castellano	3781			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7-7-05.					

Application/Control Number: 10/825,497

Art Unit: 3781

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the no ribs or rings in the area of the undercut as in claim 7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Ribs 401, 402, 403, 404, 408, 412 and ring 424 are shown in the area of the undercut.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The specification is objected to because the word "frangible" appears to be misspelled in numerous places.

Art Unit: 3781

Claims 1-14 are objected to because the word "frangible" is misspelled in claim 1, line 14 and in claim 11, line 13. Claim 7 is objected to because the drawings do not disclose the absence or ribs or rings.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zeni et al (Zeni)(4197958) in view of von Holdt (4632357).

Zeni discloses an integrally molded plastic bucket having an upright wall terminating in an annular lip, the lip defines a first undercut wall extending upwardly and inwardly along a diagonal path, a second wall extending upright from the upper end of the undercut wall and a third wall extending horizontally and radially outwardly from the second wall (see Fig. 1, upper, left corner), the top most portion includes an L-shaped wall with an uppermost outwardly extending flange, the lip includes four outwardly extending horizontal ribs on the annular lip that can be labeled from "1" to "4" with "1" at the rib underneath and immediately adjacent the flange of L-shaped wall 5 to "4" at the rib underneath and furthest from the flange and aligned with the bottom of the undercut wall. Zeni discloses the invention except for the handle. von Holdt teaches the handle. It would have been obvious to modify Zeni to have a handle with two pairs of ribs, two discs and two frangible sprue connections, the ribs could be mounted on the sidewall from rib "2" to rib "4" as motivated by a need to provide easier grasping, handling, moving, transporting and carrying of the Zeni container.

Art Unit: 3781

For claim 11, it seems the first wall as taught by applicant's specification incorporates two parts the undercut wall as explained above and the upright second wall as explained above, the second wall is horizontal and extends outwardly from the first wall and is identical to the third wall as explained above.

Re claim 2, Official notice is taken that wings for handles are well known. It would have been obvious to add wings to improve gripping. Re claim 5, undercuts of greater thickness are well known and would have been obvious to improve wall strength.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flexibility plan (IFP).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,497 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3781